

Outperformance and Tracking via Convex Analysis

Ali Al-Aradi & Sebastian Jaimungal

Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Toronto

Main Problem

An investor wishes to choose a **portfolio** π to invest in and their performance is measured against:

- a **performance benchmark** ρ , which the investor wishes to outperform;
- a **tracking portfolio** η , which the investor penalizes deviations from.

Main difficulty:

Asset growth rates are assumed to be unobservable and/or rank-dependent.

What is the optimal portfolio to invest in?

Introduction

The portfolio selection problem we consider was discussed in [1], where a **dynamic programming approach** was adopted to arrive at the optimal solution.

What is new here?

Asset growth rates are a typical input for optimal portfolios but are notoriously difficult to estimate robustly. In [1] they are assumed to be **deterministic** functions of time, whereas here we assume they can be:

1. **stochastic**;
2. **unobservable**;
3. **rank-dependent**.

These modeling assumptions should lead to better estimation of asset growth rates and consequently to improved portfolio performance.

Why convex analysis?

The generalizations above bring **additional difficulties to the dynamic programming approach** (additional state variables, dependence on unobservable processes and local times). However, they can be handled easily by exploiting the convex nature of the stochastic control problem.

Market Model

Asset price dynamics:

$$d \log \mathbf{X}(t) = \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)}_{n \times 1} dt + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\xi}(t)}_{n \times k} d\mathbf{W}(t)$$

- \mathbf{X} : *asset prices*
- $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$: *asset growth rates*, driven by an **unobservable Markov chain** $M(t)$ with state space $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and generator matrix \mathbf{G} and dependent on the **rank processes** $X_{(k)}$
- $\boldsymbol{\xi}$: *asset volatilities*, deterministic functions of time
- \mathbf{W} : standard k -dimensional Brownian motion

Additional terms:

- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$: *asset covariance process*
- $Y^{\pi, \rho}$: *log-relative wealth* process of portfolio π to portfolio ρ .

Stochastic Control Problem

We wish to find π^* which maximizes the **performance criteria**:

$$H(\pi) = \mathbb{E} \left[\underbrace{\zeta_0 \cdot Y^{\pi, \rho}(T)}_{\textcircled{1}} - \underbrace{\frac{\zeta_1}{2} \int_0^T (\pi(s) - \eta(s))' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(s) (\pi(s) - \eta(s)) ds}_{\textcircled{2}} - \underbrace{\frac{\zeta_2}{2} \int_0^T \pi(s)' \mathbf{Q}(s) \pi(s) ds}_{\textcircled{3}} \right]$$

The three terms can be interpreted as follows:

1. Terminal reward for **maximizing expected growth rate differential** relative to the performance benchmark ρ .
2. Running penalty term **penalizing tracking error/active risk**, i.e. risk-weighted deviations from the tracking benchmark η .
3. **Quadratic penalty** that can be used to minimize the *absolute* risk of π or penalize large positions in certain assets.

Approach for finding π^*

1. Compute **posterior probabilities** for Markov chain states
2. **Rewrite** performance criteria in terms of observable processes
3. Compute the **Gâteaux differential** of H : H'
4. Find portfolio π where **Gâteaux differential** vanishes
5. Verify that H is a **strictly concave** functional

Solution

Let \mathcal{F}_t be the observable filtration and define the posterior probabilities:

$$p_j(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\mathbb{1}_{\{M(t)=j\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{P_j(t)}{\sum_{i=1}^m P_i(t)}$$

Main filtering results

1. The state variables $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^m$ satisfy:

$$\frac{dP_j(t)}{P_j(t^-)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{P_i(t^-)}{P_j(t^-)} \mathbf{G}_{ji} dt + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(j)}(t^-)' d\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(t)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(j)}(t) = \boldsymbol{\xi}(t)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(t) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(j)}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(j)}$ is the growth rate process when M stays in state j .

2. Define $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(j)}(t)$ to be the **posterior mean of asset growth rates**. Then the **innovations process**

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}(t) = \int_0^t \boldsymbol{\xi}(u)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(u) (\boldsymbol{\gamma}(u) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(u)) du + \mathbf{W}(t)$$

is an \mathcal{F}_t -adapted \mathbb{P} -Wiener process.

These results allow us to rewrite H in terms of observable processes.

Optimal control

The *unique* portfolio at which the Gâteaux differential vanishes is:

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\zeta}^*(t) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(t) \cdot \left[\frac{1 - \mathbf{1}' \mathbf{A}^{-1}(t) \cdot \mathbf{B}(t)}{\mathbf{1}' \mathbf{A}^{-1}(t) \mathbf{1}} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{B}(t) \right]$$

where $\mathbf{A}(t) = (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t) + \zeta_2 \mathbf{Q}(t)$
 $\mathbf{B}(t) = \zeta_0 (\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t))) + \zeta_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t) \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)$

The optimal solution can also be written as the *posterior mean of optimal portfolios in each state*:

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\zeta}^*(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\zeta}^{(i)}(t)$$

References

- [1] Ali Al-Aradi and Sebastian Jaimungal. Outperformance and tracking: Dynamic asset allocation for active and passive portfolio management. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05819*, 2018.
- [2] Philippe Casgrain and Sebastian Jaimungal. Algorithmic trading with partial information: A mean field game approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.04094*, 2018.
- [3] Ivar Ekeland and Roger Témam. *Convex analysis and variational problems*, volume 28. Siam, 1999.